National Liberty Alliance

Monday Night Conference Call

June 12, 2017

Opening Song: The Eagle Will Rise Again

Topic: no topic

Call-In Number: 605-475-3250 Participant Code: 449389

Questions can be e-mailed to questions@nationallibertyalliance.org

Please support our business partners. You can find their banners on the right hand side of the website. Proceeds support National Liberty Alliance’s effort to save America.

Please support NLA

Scripture Reading: Matthew 10 : 1-15

(7:42)

NLA filed the first group of nonjudicial foreclosures There were six of them

Three or four more are getting ready to come through the system.

You can click onto “Nonjudicial Foreclosure” on the right hand side of the website

You will also find it on the front page of our website

Come down right before the welcome message there are two links

One says “Tax and Mortgage Foreclosure Help”

If your unalienable rights have been denied or you have been mistreated in prison there is another “click here” to add your affidavit to our case.

If there is someone in prison and someone would like to do an affidavit for them they could do it under Next Friend . You can get more information by clicking that button.

Just follow the instructions.

Before you get your affidavit signed before a notary you want to e-mail it into affidavits@nationallibertyalliance.org

Someone will take a look at it and assist in any corrections

If you would like to include your affidavit in our case you could do so

The governor of New York and both Houses in New York have defaulted on the gun Second Amendment paper that we filed.

We filed the paper concerning the Second Amendment and they defaulted, they did not answer

So we filed the default papers

They have not been mailed out yet but they will be going out tomorrow morning.

We did get out all of the nonjudicial foreclosures that have been completed.

There are six of them

They have been posted up on our page

Highlight “Grand Jury” at the top right then click on “docket”

If you click on “Docket” you will find a list of nonjudicial foreclosures that we did Show Causes to the judge and also to the CEO or President of the mortgage company.

There are six papers that we have filed.

If yours is not up here then make a call or e-mail the individual that you have been communicating with in intake at nationallibertyalliance.org to find out if something is missing. Find out what is missing and we can get your papers done this week.

We continue to organize across the nation

We continue to build up membership

It is important that people take our courses and come to the Monday Night meetings.

We do continue to organize across the nation.

We continue to build Committees of Safety.

Get a Committee of Safety going in your county.

NLA has a list of all of the Committees of Safety across the nation.

If there is already one going you may want to join up with that group

And if there isn’t one there you might want to get together with a couple of people and start one within your county.

Get involved with Committees of Safety

The main purpose of National Liberty Alliance is building grand jury administrations.

We have 1,003 administrators that are signed up.

You can sign up when you take our free course. There is a place to check the box to sign up as an administrator.

In order to become an administrator which will be a paid position we are looking for four individuals for every single county We got 3,133 counties We need four administrators for each one of those counties. You need to get educated We require everyone to take the two free courses that NLA offers here.

NLA is in the process of writing a handbook for training and on what might come up for these administrators in order for them to do their duty.

There is a vow that is required to be taken

Organizing is what we are doing

(25:42)

The NLA News has John and Gerard’s interview with Redoubt News and it is posted under the Monday Night Calls

QUESTIONS

Question 1 Please settle a bet. Which is the highest court in the land? The Supreme Court or a court of record?

A court of record is the highest court in the land

Question 2

(26:22)

Is NLA in agreement that a challenge to a judge's or magistrate's ruling is filed with the upper court to hand down a Writ of Error to the lower court? Specifically, does the injured party file with the upper court for the upper court to hand down the Writ of Error?  Is the procedure called the appeals process?

The Writ of Error is filed directly upon the judge by the individual who is the sovereign of the court. If it is a criminal case If there is anything that puts people in jeopardy of going to jail judges cannot make a decision on that They cannot make a verdict and impose a penalty upon an individual That cannot be done under common law.

Common law in any criminal case requires a court of record

It requires a trial by jury untainted jury

It is hard to find an untainted jury

If you are in a court and the judge is abusing his powers if you open a court of record it means you’re calling for a jury judges don’t understand lawyers don’t understand when you open up a court of record what that really means

but you define it in the footnotes

and you make a point in your paperwork to define it

They don’t even read that stuff so they still don’t know

Lawyers and judges don’t understand it because they are filled with a lot of garbage from school.

When you go into a court and if they are abusing their powers and they’re trying to make decisions and you’ve already opened for a court of record and it’s not a criminal case and you’ve opened a court of record then that judge becomes a magistrate he becomes the administrator of the court He is supposed to keep things moving along but he cannot make a summary judgment. If he does make a summary judgment and they do these all day long they call conferences and they make decisions they build the case the way they want to see it to get the end results ultimately

The Constitution provides we’ve established a $20 limitation

Anything over the value of $20 you can ask for a trial by jury

So you open a court of record

If it is a criminal court automatically it’s a trial by jury

Every time the prosecutor would bring up some evidence the judge seems to let it come through

But every time the individuals who are being charged with the crime and they bring up their evidence and they are denied, denied, denied.

They cannot deny it

If this happens then you can do a Writ of Error

One of the key things is that when they are denying your right of due process and denying your right to defend yourself that’s a violation against an unalienable right

Your right of justice Your right of due process

And that, if you are in a state court, that automatically makes it a federal case.

You can then take it to the federal court for cause and fight the fact that you are not getting due process

You can take this into the federal court

Is NLA in agreement that a challenge to a judge's or magistrate's ruling is filed with the upper court to hand down a Writ of Error to the lower court?

You don’t go to a higher court You ***are*** the higher court .

And you can do a Writ of Error and overrule the judge

(32:56)

You don’t want to do it in the courtroom because they will try to give you more problems and maybe put you in jail.

You want to do it carefully You want to be polite about it

You just want to bring in the facts and bring in the law and lay out why that judge is in error

Then you can overrule that judge

If the judge ignores that and doesn’t permit that to go forward throws it out at that point in time you got to move it into the federal court

If you are already in a federal court then you need to move for the judge to be taken off of the case.

The corruption in the federal courts is just as bad as in the state courts

They must have something real heavy on these judges Like the judge out in Nevada or the judge in Oregon and the judge in Montana these three judges they must have something on these guys in that they are willing to do things and say things that could put them in jail . NLA is going to try to put them in jail. We intend on indicting these judges. First we have to do our Show Causes on these three judges. We need to give them the opportunity to respond

The best way to remove a judge is to make him a defendant

When you move from a lower court to a federal court you are going to make the judge and the prosecutor and everyone in that court you are going to make them defendants inside the federal case.

So if you are in a federal court you’re going to have to find a way to signal to that judge or if the judge just doesn’t want to obey the rules of the law and do the just thing if he just doesn’t want to do it then you have to make them a defendant. It’s the only way to remove a judge You could ask that he recuse himself and if he or she doesn’t do that then the next step is to make them a defendant Then they have to get off the bench and someone else has to come in there and sit there.

If you want to become educated on how to do these things then read our cases.

Paperwork is the education

Take the NLA free courses

S pecifically, does the injured party file with the upper court for the upper court to hand down the Writ of Error?

Never, no , you do it yourself. You’re the sovereign of the court.

(42:00)

If you are the defendant in that court case you’re going to move that court to do the proper thing They’re always going to do the improper thing They’re going to do the wrong thing They’re going to injure you more You’re pressing them for your due process and for them to run a court of justice a court of law which is a court of record which requires a jury and no judge can make a decision

Once you push forward that way and that court refuses now you’re moving it into the federal court for cause and you now become the plaintiff they become the defendants at this point in time you now have control of the court you have taken your sovereign right of due process and moved it into a court where you can get due process and get justice.

You have to do some studying and understand how to deal with the judge

You can understand a lot of this by reading our court papers.

The NLA website has tremendous amounts of information

It’s all about reading and educating yourself

The best way to educate yourself is reading

The most important thing in Bible study is reading

Read, read, read, read, read

You’ll understand bits and pieces of it

Read, read, read, read, read

Reading is the key to the whole thing

Everybody should take our course on the Constitution

Is this procedure called the appeals process?

There is no appeals to a court of record

If they violate your unalienable right during the process you’re going to continue moving that case.

Once the jury makes it’s decision it’s final.

The United States Supreme Court cannot overturn their decision

If in that decision somehow someone’s unalienable rights was violated then they can bring that case to the Supreme Court

If a jury decides that an individual is innocent, that’s it, they walk free.

There is no double jeopardy allowed

If the jury decides the death penalty there is no reprieve from that.

Equity court is where the judges do make the decision

You can’t have an equity court run a criminal case

It must be trial by jury

If you have a court case that is a contract dispute then the judge can make the decision it goes a lot quicker

If he does make an error and go the wrong way you do have the appellate system that you can go up Once you go into that process you’re going to have to use the appellate system

You can’t come back and get a trial by jury

If your rights are violated during that process somehow then you can make a federal case out of it and move it into the federal courts

(52:45)

Question 3 It seems like most people want to impeach the current president and get him out of office. With the recent testimony by James Comey the President’s monitor among the American people has fallen because of distrust in leadership

What role do you think the investigation played with the Foreign Intelligence Act of 1978 and/or FISA?

This is all about corporate America corporatism the Powers-That-Be the status quo

Their powers are crumbling

This President is taking them down

Every time he does a good thing to build up America they’re being axed down because they’ve created all of these problems and situations

You can go to YouTube and see all of the great things Trump is doing all over the place

John listened to the entire hearing with Comey

He really exonerated the President of the United States

The whole hearing is about a connection between Trump and Russia during the campaign and even after the campaign

He was exonerated for that

They control the media

The stations tell lies lies lies lies lies

It is so obvious to those listening to what is really being said

They come out and say these things that are just totally opposite of the facts

They’re making these things up

Even Comey said the stuff that the news is putting out is all B S It’s not true It’s false

Trump is his own guy they never expected him to get in

They’re not going to impeach this president because there is nothing to impeach him on.

It is just news to try to prevent the people from knowing what is really going on

When a lie comes up you can say it’s a lie and the best thing to do is move on

Ignore it Make that point that that’s a lie and then move on

Spending too much time trying to fight it keeps it alive

That’s the position we take here at NLA when people say some evil things we will deal with it one time and then move on

We’re not going to empower these people by giving them a platform to continue with their lies and false statements

And that is what this president is doing He is moving on

He made a statement He made it very clear He responded and said he’s lying and then he moved on and that is the best way to handle those things

That concluded the questions

(59:22)

Robert Overhuel would like to say a few words about notarization of your affidavits.

He has a paper notarized by a city clerk He wanted to have it notarized by the county clerk and she took one look at it and said, “Can’t do it”

The other person who notarized it at the lower level, the city, did not put in her middle initial. Therefore, this paper is not properly notarized

A lot of them like to put the date it was notarized by their initials or where they signed and one had two different dates on it which made it invalid.

Be sure to check things out

(1:02:10)

Robert Bristow has some meeting reminders:

We have very poor participation in the committee calls. We need to build up the participation.

On Wednesday night at 9:00 pm EST we have the call for courtroom observers.

Maybe people don’t understand the importance of being a courtroom observer.

You need to know how to be most effective

We will teach you how

On Thursday night we have the Committees of Safety call.

Those who are interested in Committees of Safety they need to come in and learn what a committee of safety is the importance of Committees of Safety and how to form Committees of Safety.

We start with the basics and work our way up teaching you about the committees of safety.

It is one of the top priorities for us to achieve what we need to get back in the courts

We need more people to step up and form the committees of safety

We need those who signed up earlier to form committees of safety to come into the call at 9:00 PM on Thursday evening EST.

Let’s step up We really need participation

(1:04:50)

Brent Winters is author of “ Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

You can buy Brent’s books at Amazon.com

Type in Brent Allan Winters

You can also buy his books at [www.commonlawyer.com](http://www.commonlawyer.com)

There are free audio clips there They range from 6 minutes to 8 min 43 sec long

Back in 2010 a lady came to Brent She was a shaker and a mover She wanted Brent to sell his books at an event that she was having

The lady said that she would put her good looking daughter there and she would sell a lot of books. And that was true

It was a Tea Party event back in 2010

There was a band on the stage

The newspaper was there

A fellow running for governor was there

The M C said over the microphone “Brent Winters is here and he is going to open up by saying a few words”

Brent hadn’t planned on opening up

Brent tried to think of something to say

The newspaper posted what Brent said.

What Brent said was this: “If you want to know whether or not government is doing something that they had not be doing just ask yourself this: ‘ Could I, or my friends together, could we do what government is doing?’ And if it isn’t lawful for you to do what you have doubts about then chances are government doesn’t have the lawful right to do it either.”

The government does not have any authority that people did not give them

Do you have the authority to bust into somebody’s house without their permission and search through and rifle through their drawers and papers and personal belongings?

If you don’t have the right to do that without authority granted a warrant as we say well then neither do they.

We’re talking about the Declaration of ‘76

England was doing things that we couldn’t do rightfully

So how could they do it?

Our Declaration of ’76 says this:

“We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.--That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed, --That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government”

We have encouragement by our law and by our tradition to be happy.

Without deep abiding joy in life life become unbearable and we have the right to pursue happiness

We pursue it by pursuing life by preserving life and preserving property for ourselves and our family

We acquire property It is a fundamental right

A fundamental right to pass our property along to our family without expecting the government to steal half of it

That’s the pursuit of happiness.

Making the best of what happens to you.

Knowing that the law will protect your interests and allow you to be rewarded for your work.

Happiness is important

The secret to true happiness the pursuit of it staying happiness is liberty

And no man granted these directly delegated God-given rights responsibilities because man has not granted them our Declaration of ’76 does not grant them our Constitution of the United States does not grant them these are recognitions these documents recognize that our Maker granted them to us and any person whether in government or out refusing to recognize or otherwise trespassing on another person’s fundamental responsibilities

getting in the way hampering impeding delaying a man or woman’s exercise of these fundamental directly delegated duties is flying in the face of the Creator and will pay a horrible price It is not within my power to keep that from happening

The only thing that I can do is to do those things that would keep that from happening to me.

I do not want to fly in God’s face

You have the absolute right to direct the education of your child

The Supreme Court of the United States has recognized this

Pierce vs the Society of Sisters a case from the 1920s

Nebraska vs Meyer

Yoder vs Wisconsin

holding that the parent of the child has the right and duty to direct the education of their children.

No parent has the authority to delegate that duty to somebody else

A parent doesn’t have the authority to delegate the responsibility to somebody else

If you send your child to a government school or a Christian school or another private school or tutor you can do that and that may be a good option for you but never forget you cannot redelegate the responsibility you’re still responsible for the education and the direction of the education of that child.

You cannot redelegate it.

“That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed, --That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government”

The only remedy to lawlessness is true law

True law comes from The Laws of Nature unwritten and the Laws of Natures God.

That’s our Bible.

Our government uses power

But not every power that it uses is a just power

Some powers that our government uses are absolutely unjust

There are two types of powers

Just powers The Declaration of ’76 cites these just powers

and unjust powers

Just powers are those powers of government to which the governed have consented by giving them to the government Thus the government’s exercise of just powers is by the people’s authority

Unjust powers are those powers of government to which the governed have never consented and they have withheld them from government

Government has no authority to exercise any power that the people did not give them.

Brent’s views may or may not be the views of National Liberty Alliance

(1:36:18)

CALLERS

Caller 1: William from Missouri

On the nonjudicial foreclosure how do I know if it is a tax foreclosure or a foreclosure from the bank?

It says so all over the paperwork. The tax foreclosure comes from the county. The other one comes from a private corporation which is a mortgage company.

Are both foreclosures served by the sheriff?

The sheriff is not going to get involved until after the foreclosure. There will be a process and you’ll get a letter of foreclosure they’ll come out and put a lock on your door.

They don’t have the power and authority to do any of those things.

Most states are not a nonjudicial foreclosure state yet they do nonjudicial foreclosures

The few states that do do nonjudical foreclosure it is null and void because it bypasses due process.

The whole process is without due process

They never really give you due process

The sheriff doesn’t show up until they try a lot of ways to get you out of that house.

They may spend a year or two going through this process before they move the sheriff in to throw you out

They actually auction off your house before the sheriff does the dispossess or they may do it right after the auction

The whole process is illegal

If they had a legal way of doing this then they would do it

There is no legal way for them to do it because the mortgage doesn’t exist the whole thing was a fraud

There can be no tax on a property You don’t own that property if you have to pay someone every year to have the right to that property which is called property tax.

They don’t have the right to tax private property.

The whole thing is done in a process called in REM illegal unconstitutional it violates your unalienable right of property

Caller can fill out the form at NLA

It is on the front page at National Liberty Alliance

Right before you get to the Welcome Message

Follow the instructions

Caller actually already did the intake

Caller should do a follow up

Highlight “Grand Jury” Click on “docket” and see if your paper has been filed

It should be under “Nonjudicial Foreclosures”

If your name isn’t there then you’re missing something

(1:51:20)

Caller 2 California Fred

Puerto Rico is having a vote whether they are going to enter statehood or not. And right now we have a bunch of states that are clamoring for a constitutional convention.

They’re not going to get a constitutional convention. I don’t see that coming.

The last thing we need right now is a constitutional convention.

We need to fix the problems that we have right now.

If people think you can add to the Constitution to fix the problems then they don’t understand the Constitution at all because everything is already pretty much settled.

It’s going to require a vote Puerto Rico is a territory Their voting system falls under the congress. That means that the federal government can oversee the elections.

And they’re so corrupt

You’re worrying about something that can’t really happen right now.

To start a constitutional convention it will take years to get that.

Between now and that time we’re going to fix a lot of these problems.

Puerto Rico, if they want to become a state, they have the right.

They are a territory The Constitution lays out the requirements in order to become a state.

If you read the Constitution Puerto Rico meets the requirements to become a state

And if they want to go through that process they have every right to do so and we should welcome them in as a new state.

There are other territories that have really wanted to become a state for a long time.

They’ve been prevented from coming but the Constitution allows for them to become a state, we should allow them and bring them in.

All these problems could be fixed just by exercising the Constitution that we already have.

It is almost impossible to get a constitutional convention going to pass any amendment. It may have happened just once. Maybe twice. There was one amendment that was ratified 202 years the 27th amendment was ratified 202 years after it was first proposed. Ratified in the year 19 and 92 Most of the amendments have been ratified by state legislatures.

A constitutional convention does not get much traction.

We don’t know what we have in the Constitution

We’re not using it

Why is it that we want to change things?

True law never changes

In the beginning of personal real estate it was delegated to our Grandpa Adam. God told him to defend it. That’s what the Hebrew word means . Translated keep the garden It means fortress It means armed force if necessary That’s what God commanded our Grandpa.

And he didn’t do it He left the wrong thing wriggle in and we have a duty to defend that. Along with that duty comes the Second Amendment. The Second Amendment is an eternal principle applied in the modern day.

The principle never changes The law never changes

Our Constitution is a brief of common law government.

It never has changed It never will.

It is eternal

If a law isn’t eternal the first principle our common law is a law of first principles and if the first principle that we call first principle is not applicable at all times in all places among all men then it is not law

The most damaging amendments that were made to the Constitution were the 16th and the 17th

Both of them were done in 1913

The 17th Amendment took the power of the election of the Senate by the states and it destroyed the rights of the states by removing their representation in Congress by having a Senate The Senate has to vote on anything that the House votes on that the Congress votes on and if the Senate denies it then it’s not going to go through

They put each other in check

(2:06:18)

But it is in direct violation of Article 5

It says that no state without its consent shall be deprived of its equal suffrage in the Senate.

There is no equal suffrage in the Senate by the states.

The states have no representation

The people already had a representation by having congress.

We had a representation

But the state needs a representation too

And each one of these two senators sent by these states are controlled by the legislators of that state.

They have lost States rights have been lost

And that’s why states are being walked all over because of the 17th amendment

And the 16th amendment of course was destructive economically to the whole nation and we see what’s happened with that

How can you tax someone’s income and the Supreme Court has ruled numerous times that you can’t do it.

Only corporations can be taxed

You can’t tax a human being But they do

At some point in time we’re going to deal with that and make that correction

It’s all fraud anyway

This idea of making all of the people slaves

It’s all a play on words

It’s all fraud

It’s all null and void

The 16th and 17th amendments are easily repugnant to the Constitution the body of the Constitution and the fact of representation by the states is totally lost

That just nullifies it right there.

Possibly yesterday Puerto Rico passed the referendum to become a state

It’s not automatic even though the people voted on it there are other steps they have to go through

They cannot be rejected

(2:10:45)

Caller 3 Felicia she was on the last Monday night call

She was given information to seek a mortgage defense for analysis

She called the guy and he never returned her call She called him three or four times.

Did you fill out the form and sent it to intake @nationallibertyalliance.org?

Caller already did all of that

Currently right now her house is up for sale in two days and its cash only

She had a guy looking around her house over the weekend

She called Fanny Mae but they never called her back

She needs to know what she should do at this point

At last weeks call she was told to let NLA know if they didn’t call her back

Give a call to Jan

Go to the directory and find Jan’s number and give him a call

and Jan will try to get ahold of someone to talk to you.

We have gone through and filed all of the forms for Felicia already for foreclosure

(2:13:15)

They locked up her house

She took the lock off

Call Jan tomorrow and find out the status of your paperwork

It was filed this week It is number two on the docket page

You should get a copy tomorrow

Read the paperwork

Then come back next Monday and ask us questions

Caller wants to join the Natural Healing Committee

Go to their webpage and they give you directions

The phone number and e-mail for Ed who is in charge of that committee is there and talk to him

This mission statement is there

Under “committees” you can find it.

(2:26:10)

Caller 4 North Carolina Allen

Still not getting a response back about Samuel Girod

This is the Amish guy that they arrested The FDA

Caller is sending information to Gerard but Gerard is not receiving it

Gerard found something in his junk file

The affidavits were sent in through Skype

Gerard found the affidavits they got sent into his junk box

Caller is starting a committee of safety and going before the sheriff and holding him accountable.

When you start off a committee of safety you are out to make friends.

The best way to make friends is to empower your friend

The friend that you want is the sheriff

You want to empower the sheriff constitutionally

You want to educate him explain certain things and share documents with him

(2:30:00)

You can get all of this stuff up on our Committee of Safety page.

When you start showing the sheriff his real authorities and powers and prove it to him they don’t even know that they have these powers and authorities and they’re going to like this.

You want to educate the sheriff you don’t want to separate yourself from him

You can download the instructions You can download the documents

It is at COS at the website

Samuel has been in jail about 150 days and he is going to be sentenced on the thirtieth of this month

The FDA on the witness stand committed perjury and the judge didn’t do anything about it.

Google Samuel Girod and a lot of things come up

He is an Amish man from Kentucky Bath County

He grew natural stuff on his farm The main ingredient was chickweed He done it for 20 years It got so big and so many people liked it The Amish in other states were requesting it He started selling the stuff In Missouri someone challenged it Then they started getting on him about interstate commerce They use the chickweed in a salve and use the salve for cancer and warts They said he was misprinting it as a drug They got him on thirteen counts and one of them was that he threatened an FDA agent. It was shown in court by perjury of the FDA agent that that was a false charge false claim and it was refuted by the state’s own witness . He is facing 68 years. Caller has the court transcript. It is about 900 pages for the 303 days. Get a copy of that to NLA through Skype John will talk to Gerard tomorrow

Caller is a 23 year marine that swore an oath to protect against domestic enemies. He is sick and tired of what is being done He is a retired marine.

We need to remove these judges and start filing charges against them especially when there is direct perjury in court.

NLA will move on this case as fast as we can

It takes time to write papers

We got to hold these judges accountable.

NLA has a list of about thirty plus judges right now which we are pursuing Show Causes on. Once they start defaulting we’re going out after them we’re looking to indict we’re looking to get prosecutors to work with us

That’s another problem getting a prosecutor to work with us

That’s where the Attorney General and the President comes in

The federal case is going after these people enemies both foreign and domestic that are subverting the Constitution of the United States of America We the People

We’re going after them

We may have to wait for critical mass but we’re not far from critical mass

Perjury is not lying under oath

Perjury is an oath that is a lie

Lying under oath is a criminal thing to do but it is not called perjury that is another crime entirely.

Lying to a police officer is a felony

If you talk to any law enforcement officer they laugh when people start babbling it makes them giggle because they know that they don’t have to

Lying to a government official is a felony

Perjury is an oath that is taken with full intent to not follow your oath.

Anything you say can and will be used against you

That’s the distinction between perjury and lying.

It is called in the Bible in the old translations false swearing Swearing falsely not lying under oath but swearing falsely

This was a state witness and he knew what he was going to testify to

Even though you know that they committed perjury even though you know that their oath was a lie it is hard to prove

That’s the reason government agents get away with lying so much on the witness stand

The ends justifies the means because they believe that they must achieve a result They’re not willing to follow due process and tell the truth and see where the chips fall They’re focused on the result

You can go after them for vindictive prosecution

Malicious prosecution some people call it

That does not mean that this fellow did not commit perjury

I just mean that it is hard to prove by a prosecutor

The judge isn’t the prosecutor If he believes there’s perjury he can turn it over to the prosecutor but he can’t prosecute it That is separation of power That’s our common law tradition

Our Constitution demands it

So we can’t expect the judge to do anything

Except maybe point it out

And even then he’s crossing the line a little bit

To chase this case from that perspective is difficult

We would go in on this case in a couple of different ways

First of all the due process problem also violating the sanctity of the jury they’ve tampered with the jury we could prove that right out of the transcripts

When we go through the transcript we will find places where the judge is violating his oath of office. And that is where we will go with the Show Cause on this

We will go after the things that we know

Vindictive prosecution

Let us go through the paperwork and we will see what’s going on here

They’re pulling him in to an unknown jurisdiction

It’s all fraud

Closing Song: Tell Me Why by Declan Galbraith